



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/828,909

04/20/2004

Nobuhiro Gunji

MIPFP088

5657

25920 7590 01/07/2009
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE
SUITE 200
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

CLOUD, JOIYA M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2444

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/07/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/828,909	Applicant(s) GUNJI, NOBUHIRO	
	Examiner Joiya M. Cloud	Art Unit 2444	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the application filed on 08/25/2004. Claims 1-19 are PENDING. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of new grounds of rejection necessitated by Applicant's substantial amendments (i.e. "a request for information about whether or not the service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period...").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kemp et al.
(U.S. Publication No. 2002/0078160 A1).

As per claim 1, Kemp teaches a service device that sequentially provides a service in response to a service request from a client, the client being connected to the service device via a network, the service device comprising: a detection module that detects whether or not the

service device is capable of providing a service within a preset time period (**Figure 10, S121 where a determination of whether the job request can be completed**); and a notification module that notifies the client of the result of detection regardless of whether or not a request for information about whether or not the service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period has been received from the client (**Figure 10, where a failure message or message indicating a submission of the service request is notified to the client and paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**), wherein the detection module and the notification module are implemented as program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium or as a combination of hardware and program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium ().

As per claim 2, Kemp teaches a service device in wherein the notification module notifies the client of the result of detection when a change is detected between a state in which the service device is capable of providing a service within the preset time period and a state in which the service device is incapable of providing a service within the preset time period (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 3, Kemp teaches a service device in accordance with claim 1, wherein the detection module detects whether or not the service device is capable of providing a service in real time (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 4, Kemp teaches a service device further comprising: a setting module that registers the client as a target of notification, wherein the notification module notifies the registered client of the result of detection (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 5, Kemp teaches a service device in further comprising: a reception module that receives information from the client, the information relating to an attribute or type of a service required by the client, wherein the setting module registers the client if the service device is capable of providing a service of the attribute or type (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 6, Kemp teaches a service device in wherein the setting module is capable of registering a plurality of the clients; the setting module stores a use condition in connection with a specific client of the plurality of clients, the use condition being used for allowing the specific client to use the service device preferentially; and when the use condition satisfied, the notification module further notifies the specific client of the satisfaction of the use condition (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 7, Kemp teaches a service device in wherein when the use condition satisfied, the notification module further notifies a client other than the specific client that the service device became incapable of providing a service (**Figure 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claims 8-14, claims 8-14 are substantially the same as claims 1-7, but in method rather than device form. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-7 applies equally as well to claims 8-14.

As per claim 15, Kemp teaches a client that issues a service request to a service device via a network, the service device sequentially providing a service, the client comprising: a receive module that receives a result of detection from the service device regardless of whether

or not a request for information about a status of the service device has been issued to the service device, the result of detection relating to whether or not the service device is capable of providing a service within a preset time period; and an acquaint module that acquaints a user of the client with the result of detection regardless of whether or not the request for information about the status of the service device, wherein the receive module and the acquaint module are implemented as program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium or as a combination of hardware and program instructions stored on a computer-readable medium (**Figures 1 and 10 paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 16, Kemp teaches a client further comprising: a transmit module that transmits registration information to the service device, the registration information being used for registering the client at the service device, so that the client receives the result of detection from the service device (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 17, Kemp teaches a service system including a service device that sequentially provides a service and a client that issues a service request to the service device via a network, for acquainting a user of the client with information regarding the service device, the method comprising the steps of (a) the service device detecting whether or not device is capable of providing a service within a preset time period (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**); (b) the service device notifying the client of the result of detection, regardless of whether or not a request for information about whether or not the service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period has been issued from the client to the service device (**paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**); and (c) the client acquainting the user with the result of detection, regardless of whether or not the request for information about whether or not the

Art Unit: 2444

service device is capable of providing the service within the preset time period has been issued from the client to the service device (**Figure 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

As per claim 18, claims 18 is substantially the same as claim 1, but in computer program product form rather than device form. Therefore, the rejection for claim 1 is applies equally as well to claim 18.

As per claim 19, Kemp teaches a computer program product for causing a client to receive information from a service device, the client issuing a service request to the service device via a network, the service device sequentially providing a service, the computer program product comprising: a computer readable recording medium; and a computer program stored on the computer readable recording medium, the computer program causing the client to attain the functions of receiving a result of detection from the service device regardless of whether or not the a request for information about a status of the service device has been issued to the service device, the result of detection relating to whether or not the service device is capable of providing a service within a preset time period; and acquainting a user of the client with the result of detection, regardless of whether or not the request for information about a status of the service device has been issued to the service device (**Figures 1 and 10, paragraphs [0084] and [0085]**).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joiya Cloud whose telephone number is 571-270-1146. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3922.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access

Art Unit: 2444

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197
(toll-free).

JMC

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

January 3, 2009